



elena.danilova-cross@undp.org

15 September 2022



Where do we stand with national SDG and Human Rights reporting?



Voluntary National/Local Reviews and Universal Periodic Reviews

Country	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023/forth coming
Azerbaijan		VNR	UPR	VNR		VNR		
Georgia	VNR				VNR	UPR		
Kazakhstan				VNR/UPR			VNR	
Kyrgyz Republic					VNR/UPR			
Tajikistan		VNR	National SDG Report			UPR		VNR
Turkmenistan			UPR	VNR				VNR /UPR
Uzbekistan			UPR		VNR			VNR/UPR

Source: hlpf.un.org , ohchr.org and medt.tj

Highlights: National SDG institutional structure



- Well-established systems in place to implement and monitor progress on the SDGs: high level coordination, bodies, secretariats, working groups and web portals (ex. sdg.gov.ge);
- While the designs of the institutional structures are highly country-specific, most countries
 practice the 'whole-of-government' and 'whole-of-society' approaches and engage various
 government entities as well as non-governmental stakeholders;
- more collaboration with cross-sectoral government entities than engagement with subnational governments, indicating a pre-eminence of horizontal rather than vertical coordination;
- specific entities are emerging to manage **SDG localization at the national level (local and regional governments)**, however, further measures are needed to mainstream the SDGs fully at multiple levels of government;
- increasing numbers of parliaments are engaged in SDG oversight, monitoring and budgeting activities

Highlights: SDG mainstreaming and policy coherence



- Mainstreaming the SDGs into national development plans (NDPs) has become an established practice;
- SDG strategies, roadmaps and action plans are increasingly being developed in order to mainstream the SDGs;
- Although reviewed NDPs make important contributions to the SDGs, any analysis of how these interrelate, including their trade-offs, is still limited. Many sustainable development contributions are still reported in the context of sector-based strategies, plans;
- To accelerate progress on SDG mainstreaming, multidimensional, systemic and integrated approaches must be stepped up at all levels of government;
- Progress on SDG localization is advancing with some countries making headway in mainstreaming the SDGs at local level. COVID-19 has further uncovered the importance of SDG localization.



Integration of SDGs into National Development Agenda

		total number of reviewed	# of	# of	# of				
		policy documents (expiring	documents	documents at	documents at		analyses made	analyses made at	
		between 2017 - 2035) and	at national	(sub)national/	city/local	number of targets aligned	at indicators	budget level?	
Country	m/y of RIA	regulatory acts	level	regional level	level	overall	level? (y/n)	(y/n)	RIA used in VNRs
						82 out of global 169 or 68			
Azerbaijan	Dec-19	54	54	n/a	n/a	out of prioritized 88	n	n	yes, 2020 (pages 39-42)
Georgia	Apr-19	55	37	9		88 out of national 95	у	у	yes, 2020 (pages 9-10)
Kazakhstan	Feb-21	80	47	14	3	129 out of global 169	у	n	yes, 2019 (pages 24-25)
Kyrgyzstan	Mar-20	36	36	n/a	n/a	138 out of global 169	у	n	yes, 2020 (pages 16-19)
								yes, but for two	
Tajikistan	Dec-16	10	10	n/a	n/a	96 out of selected 119	n	rayons only	yes, 2017 (page 16)
Turkmenistan	Sep-17	20	20	n/a	n/a	99 out of national 126	у	n	yes, 2019 (paged 10-11)
Uzbekistan	May-22	22	22	n/a	n/a	133 out of global 169	у	n	expected in 2023?

Source: Author's elaboration based on application of Rapid Integrated Assessment methodology



Challenges in implementation of LNOB principles in the Voluntary National Reviews



Only around 40% of the VNRs include a chapter or sub-chapter on LNOB

Between 2019-2022 over 90% where UN was involved in preparations included LNOB in VNRs!

Operationalization of LNOB

Challenges

Who is left behind and Why?



Group-based versus intersecting/individual deprivations.

What should be done and by Whom?



Inadequate prioritization in national development planning. Lack of crossinstitutional cooperation.

How to measure and monitor progress



No innovative methodologies to measure LNOB due to lack of statistical disaggregation and "invisible population" phenomena.

Advancing accountability for LNOB



Lack of monitoring and evaluation mechanisms. Financial coverage gaps.

Meaningful participation



Those left behind are often excluded from consultations, negotiations and statistics.



Examples of LNOB reporting in VNRs 2019-2022

Identified specific vulnerable groups	conducted an analysis and review	stated that their development frameworks incorporate the principle of LNOB	Empowered liv Resilient natio
Turkiye (2019) - refugees Kyrgyzstan (2020) — IDPs, ethnic minorities Azerbaijan (2019 and 2021) — IDPs Georgia (2020) — IDPs and ethnic minorities Moldova (2020) — Roma and migrants	Moldova (2020) , Kyrgyzstan (2020)	Around 40% of all reported countries, but over 90% where UN was involved in preparations	
North Macedonia (2020) - LGBTI Serbia (2019) — migrants and Roma			
Kazkahstan (2022) low income, migrants with undefined status			0

measures to promote social protection	measures to promote social inclusion	Data issues	policies, programmes and efforts that address the needs of vulnerable groups
Azerbaijan (2019 and 2021) Moldova (2020) Armenia (2020) Serbia (2019); Uzbekistan (2020)	Moldova (2020) Serbia (2019) North Macedonia (2020)	All VNRs	All VNRs

Highlights: SDG Financing



- SDG principles are not integrated into the budget process;
- The total amount of financing gap is not defined;
- While a few initiatives explore different forms of financing for the SDGs (DFAs, budget classifiers, Citizen's budgets, programme budgeting), more innovative solutions are needed;
- Growing pressure on expenses associated with COVID-19 and recent development;
- Limited public participation in the budget process and lack of accountability of key development actors;
- Systematic SDG budgeting and specific financing for the SDGs from non-government sources could help broaden the means of implementation, pave the way for increased private-sector engagement and foster innovative financing solutions.

Impact of COVID-19 and recent challenges



- COVID-19 pandemic have reversed progress and affected all population groups, in particular, the most vulnerable;
- Inequalities due to disruption in the labour market, supply chain instability and threatened community resilience;
- Investments a needed in:
- sustainable and high-quality infrastructure;
- inclusive skills development for young people;
- more resilient healthcare systems;
- climate and business environment;
- alternative energy: and
- expanding green financing.





The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on household income had three ramifications:

- (i) a loss of wage income and revenues from informal work;
- (ii) a loss of remittances; and
- (iii) price inflation, particularly food price inflation.

Loss of incomes affected households significantly, adding to spillover effects such as:

- rising household debt,
- inability to afford out-of-pocket payments for health services and reduced access to education.
- Some aspects of the crisis have affected women more than men.
- The high incidence of informality makes the impact on precarious employment significant.

Source: UNDP Socio-economic impact assessments - https://www.undp.org/coronavirus/socio-economic-impact-covid-19





Country	\$1.90	\$3.20	\$5.50
Afghanistan	n/a	n/a	n/a
Azerbaijan			
China			
Georgia			
Kazakhstan			
Kyrgyz Republic			
Molgolia			
Pakistan			
Tajikistan			
Turkmenistan			
Uzbekistan			

This figure plots countries' poverty impacts under different monetary thresholds. The poverty impact is measured as the proportion of each country's population falling into poverty as a result of soaring food and energy prices vis-à-vis the benchmark scenario.

The table at the bottom shows the average poverty impact for each poverty line and impact magnitude.

Average poverty impact (percentages of country's population falling into poverty at each poverty line)											
Low Impact	\$1.90	\$3.20	\$5.50	Modlum Import	\$1.90	\$3.20	\$5.50	Ulah Impact	\$1.90	\$3.20	\$5.50
Low Impact	0.00%	0.02%	0.04%	medium impact	0.20%	0.76%	0.88%	High impact	2.94%	3.09%	3.72%

International commodity prices

In the last 12 months:

- ✓ Metal prices go down (whith few exceptions)
 ↓



				_					
		Agricultural Raw Materials Index, 2016 = 100, includes Timber, Cotton, Wool, Rubber, and Hides Price Indices	Base Metals Price Index, 2016 = 100, includes Aluminum, Cobalt, Copper, Iron Ore, Lead, Molybdenum, Nickel, Tin, Uranium and Zinc Price Indices	Precious Metals Price Index, 2016 = 100, includes Gold, Silver, Palladium and Platinum Price Indices	Fertilizer Index, 2016 = 100, includes DAP, Potash, UREA	Fuel (Energy) Index, 2016 = 100, includes Crude oil (petroleum), Natural Gas, Coal Price and Propane Indices	Natural Gas Price Index, 2016 = 100, includes European, Japanese, and American Natural Gas Price Indices	Cotton, Cotton Outlook 'A Index', Middling 1- 3/32 inch staple, CIF Liverpool, US cents per pound	Lithium Metal =99%, Battery Grade, USD
2021M7	128.97	112.19	238.78	151.49	161.81	181.40	208.81	97.70	95767.61
2021M8	131.48	110.03	212.24	148.16	163.21	183.94	244.22	101.30	103970.14
2021M9	127.01	108.42	191.43	145.54	167.23	213.27	344.61	103.68	122714.90
2021M10	127.95	112.75	196.48	145.24	214.20	256.81	458.16	117.38	142293.44
2021M11	129.71	116.24	180.06	148.66	253.19	235.99	419.75	126.54	163563.75
2021M12	133.46	114.27	190.58	144.55	255.38	240.57	504.14	120.04	184429.31
2022M1	139.89	118.33	208.89	148.00	249.33	242.14	389.05	132.33	266260.85
2022M2	147.15	121.37	216.64	152.44	273.49	260.80	389.72	138.41	341140.05
2022M3	157.59	121.67	233.43	160.43	320.34	332.74	557.99	141.13	473185.88
2022M4	161.73	124.30	231.44	157.89	334.30	301.12	463.03	155.32	490008.18
2022M5	158.77	125.38	208.09	149.93	325.21	307.35	415.15	163.98	453228.86
2022M6	152.82	122.40	200.26	148.24	299.51	328.83	483.23	154.45	443656.20
2022M7	142.15	112.90	172.47	140.33	270.40	344.87	668.93	131,04	441052.34

Source: WDI (last visited September 2022)

Inflationary pressure

Consumer price inflation reaches double digits in most countries





Country	2019	2020	2021	2022 (projection)	2023 (forecast)	2024 (forecast)	2025 (forecast)				
Afghanistan	2.8	5	no data	no data	no data	no data	no data				
Azerbaijan	2.4	2.7	12	11	6	4	4				
China	4.5	-0.3	1.8	2.5	1.4	2	2				
Georgia	7	2.4	13.9	7.6	3.4	3	3				
Kazakhstan	5.4	7.5	8.4	8.5	6	4.5	4				
Kyrgyz Republic	3.1	9.7	11.2	14.7	6.4	6	5.5				
Molgolia	5.2	2.3	13.5	17.5	11.5	10	8				
Pakistan	8	8.6	9.7	12.7	8.3	7	6.5				
Tajikistan	8	9.4	8	12	9	6.5	6.5				
Turkmenistan	6.3	8.9	21	14	7	8	8				
Uzbekistan	15.2	11.2	10	12.1	11.3	6.2	5.1				
Consumer price inflation, annual average change (%)											
source: IME (last vi	isited Sent	ember 202	2) - https:/	/www.imf.org/ex	ternal/datamanne	or/DCDIEDCH@WE	O/CAO				

source: IMF (last visited September 2022) - https://www.imf.org/external/datamapper/PCPIEPCH@WEO/CAQ

Finance considerations



General Government Gross debt, % of GDP

Country	2019	2020	2021	trend	Count	try 2019	2020	2021	trend
Afghanistan	6.13	No data	No data	6.13% 2002 2019	Azerb		No data	No data	17,70% 1995 2019
China	57.05	No data	No data	57.05% 1995 2019	Georg		No data	No data	40.44%
Molgolia	68.44	76.57	No data	76.57%	Kazak	19.94	27.36	No data	27.36%
Pakistan	85.56	87.21	No data	1994 2020	Kyrgy	54.14 z Republic	No data	No data	54.14% 2000 2019
Recent inflation	tends to	"eat" de	ebts, but	prevents	Tajikis		No data	No data	43.13% 1998 2019
businesses in acc	essing fi	nancial r	esources	for investment		32.91 nenistan	No data	No data	32.91% 1997 2019
Source: WDI (las	t visited	Septemb	er 2022)		Uzbel		No data	No data	29.42% 1997 2019



Call for success in achieving the SDGs!

Fundamental shift in sustainable development pathway
 Investment in human capital

- **≻**People
- > Expansion of social protection
- ➤ Provision of quality education and health
 - ➤ Creation of decent jobs
 - ➤ Transition to renewable energy
 - ➤ Ensuring digitalization for all
- > Rethinking the system of SDG financing.





