

Modern heating sector - international trends and challenges for the Republic of Kazakhstan. Webinar Course in connection with the preparation of the "Law on Heating"







Content of this session

- Basic principles
- Basic model
 - Necessary input Demand / Supply Cost Characteristics
 - Output Least cost / Utilization of different sources
- Introduction to Detailed modelling
 - Demand Uncertainties, typical values, extreme values
 - Supply Uncertainties, Disturbances, Spare capacity
 - Scenarios
- Example of tools for
 - Detailed simulations of operation
 - Financial simulations

Discussion

ADB Supporting Renewable Technology Inclusive Heat Supply Legislation – Technical and Legal Consultancy ADB. TA 6564 KAZ Results from discussion





District Heating

- Is usually the most efficient way to supply Heat in densly populated areas
- Is usually the easiest way to improve the local environment
- Is a system that can use Heat from CHP units, which means efficient production of Electricity and Heat and by that reduction of CO2 emissions

Basic principle for District Heating production planning:

• Find <u>least total cost</u> to supply the customers with secure and environmentally acceptable heat









Demand – Load curve and Load Duration curve - Example

- 1. Annual Demand is not enough for planning of supply, because demand varies during the year
- 2. A curve that describes the duration of different demand levels between maximum and minimum will be necessary to find suitable supply units
 - The diagrams show two different curves that represent the annual demand
 - Annual demand curve for January 1 December 31
 - Load duration curve, highests, second highestlowest









Typical Load Duration Curve - Example

- Demand curve varies between different years due to variations in weather
- Pmax(2019) = 113 MW
- Pmax(2018) = 127 MW
- Average of LDC from 2 years -> A typical LDC
- Pmax,average = 120 MW
- Standard deviation for maximum demand should also be calculated, but that need values from more than 2 years

Note:

- In this example, 2 years is used to make it simple, in real life 3-5 years ought to be used
- For system with changes in heat market annual demand curves have to be normalised by the annual average demand before calculating the average between different years





Supply – Cost characteristics – Least annual cost

Three Major Cost Components

- Investment => Annual cost of capital
- Fixed annual cost (Maintenance, saleries etc)
- Variable cost (Fuel, chemicals, etc)

Example – Total annual cost Coal and Natural gas fired HOBs

Fuel price					Annu	Fix				Ъ
Coal	10	\$/MWh		Invest	al cost of ca	ed annual o	Variable	Fuel	C02)tal va
Natural gas	20	\$/MWh								riable
Waste	-5	\$/MWh	Cost component	ment	apital	0&M	0&M	Price	-fees	e cost
Pellets	30	\$/MWh								
Other prices				K\$/I	K\$/I	K\$/	\$/N	\$/N	\$/N	\$/N
Electricity value	40	\$/MWh,el		MW,ł	MW,ł	MW,	۸Wh,	۸Wh,	۸Wh,	IWh,ł
CO2 emission price	10	\$/ton,CO2	Unit type and fuel	าeat	าeat	/ear	fuel	fuel	fuel	าeat
Note: 2020-2-11 Europé	25	\$/ton,CO2	HOB Coal HOB NG	924 507	72 40	16,0 4 6	0,8 03	10,0	3,3	16,0 23.6



Calculated annual cost (K\$/MW,år)						
	Discount rate	Life time	Utilisation time (h)			
Unit type	(%)	(years)	0	8000		
HOB Coal	6%	25	88	217		
HOB NG	6%	25	44	233		



Operation over 6000 hours/year HOB on coal gives lowest cost and below that NG give lowest total cost



3.9. Technical Due Diligence

Least cost planning - Example

- Demand curve from Shakhtinsk
- 3 alternatives for a new system
 - Coal fired CHP
 - NG fired CHP
 - NG fired HOB
- Least cost for a new system
 - 50 MW CHP on Natural Gas
 - 70 MW HOB on Natural gas
- But as long as the existing units can operate without major investments it will be cheaper than any new alternative









Shortcomings of Basic Least Cost Supply Model

Output valid for

- Same annual demand
- Same maximum demand
- No spare capacity

Load duration curve doesn't reflect the demand's variation over and by that regulation, start and stop of the plants

Currently there is also lack of a "Least Cost Energy Efficiency model"







Introduction to Detailed modelling

- Demand
 - Development of annual demand
 - Typical demand curve
- Supply Uncertainties, Disturbances, Spare capacity
- Supply / Demand Dimensioning
- Scenarios
- Simulations example
 - Utilisation
 - Financial outcome







Development of Annual Demand

- Expansion
 - New connections
 - Increased comfort
- Customers leaving the District Heating system
 - Dissatisfaction with quality of supply
 - Cheaper alternative
- Efficiency measures
 - Improvement of windows
 - Improved insulation
 - Improved control system
- Action drivers
 - Individual metering
 - Tariff level and structure
 - Regulations and subsidies

Input from city planners Experience from other systems

Keep a reasonable level for quality of supply Not likely

Sealing, add another window pane To be combined with outside repair of the whole building Central for the house, individual radiator thermostats

Necessary if the apartment owner should be interested Price - high enough, Variable part – big enough Experiences from other countries







Demand curve

Load duration curve

- Correct reflection of different load levels and maximum demand (Example 120 MW)
- Does not reflect when different levels occur Not enough for detailed simulations of operation

Average of annual demand curves

- Flattened out Wrong reflection of:
 - Duration of load levels
 - Maximum demand (Example 88 MW)

Typical load curve

- Reflects the variations between different load levels and when they occur in a statistical correct way
- A typical curve should be used for simulations, otherwise:
 - Peak capacity will not be utilized
 - Base capacity will be utilized more than in reality







180

Typical daily

90

0



360

270



Dimensioning of Supply system

Spare capacity principles – small systems

- It should be possible to supply heat:
 - When normal maximum demand occurs even if the biggest unit fails
 - When dimensioning demand occurs if all units can operate
- Dimensioning demand = Upper limit of a 95% confidence interval (roughly normal max plus 2*Standard deviation for the maximum demand in the typical demand curve)

Note: For bigger systems, the "Loss of load probability method" ought to be used

Security of supply check	
"Shakhtinskteploenergo"LLP	(MW)
Total installed capacity	165
Biggest unit	55
Capacity after loss of biggest unit	110
Maximum demand	92
Capacity after loss of biggest unit - Maximum demand	17
Dimensioning demand (95% interval)	103
Installed capacity - Dim demand	61







General

Scenario methodology

- Create a base scenario for at least 20 years ahead
- Create alternative scenarios for the same period
- Simulate operation of the system 20-40 years ahead
- Compare the results for the alternative scenarios with the base scenario
 - Financial outcome
 - Environmental outcome







Scenarios - Example

	Base Scenario	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Scenario
Customers/ Demand	Specific consumption per customer constant Number of Customers are increased by 80% of the potential within 5 years and that trend goes on for 5 more years	As in Base Scenario, but with EE measures on customer side (from 250 kWh/m2,y to 150 kWh/m2,y within 10 years)
Distribution System	Exchange of old pipelines at ongoing rate in order to reduce losses to 10% within 10 years	As in Base Scenario, but with increased speed of pipe-line renewals (reduce losses from current value to 10% of current production within 5 years)
Production System	Old units will be retired at an age of 60 years. New least cost units added, when necessary, for keeping security of supply	As Base Scenario, but all new base load production facilities based on renewables

Shakhtinsk - DH Demand forecast







Scenarios - Example



Capacity:

- New in 2023
- Fossil CHP/HoB (180 MW) Demand:
- + New customers
- Reduction of losses



Capacity:

- New 2025
- New base capacity-Renewable (90 MW)
- Peak capacity fossil (60 MW)

Demand:

- + New customers
- Customer Efficiency measures
- Reduction of losses







Tools

Model for Simulation of Utilisation

1. Utilisation principles

Merit order

Stepwise simulation: External heat deliveries, Storage utilisation, Basic production simulation, Treatment of unavailabilities

1. Demand forecast

Annual Energy Annual maximum demand Typical Annual Demand curve

1. Production system

Installed capacities (heat and electricity) Variable operation cost Annual revision plan Availability





Analysis – Utilisation

Utilisation 2020

- Boiler 1 utilisation time 3000 hours
- Boiler 3 utilization time 2000 hours
- Boiler 4 used only as spare capacity
- Waste of resources to have a CHP as spare capacity
- Important to remember when units should be replaced



Day number

ADB







Base



Energy Efficiency & Renewable (EE&RE)



This example shows the annual heat production in different units from 2020 to 2060 for Base and for EE & RE scenarios

- In 2020 this is a system with 3 coal fired CHPs whereof 1 is utilized to a reasonable degree ۰
- In both scenarios replacement of old production units starts as soon as possible, due to the age of the production units
- In EE & RE scenario the demand is reduced by EE measures.
- A good combination, that will end in the same as the EE & RE Scenario ought to be:
 - To introduce Energy Efficiency measures on customer side as soon as possible ۲
 - Build 2x30 MW HoB based on gas and close the oldest CHP unit as soon as possible ۰
 - Then replace the other 2 old CHP units, when necessary, by 3x30MW renewable units ۰







Base

Daily production 2060



Energy Efficiency and Renewable

Daily production 2060



Daily heat production 2060

- <u>Base scenario</u> all existing units are replaced by:
 - 95 MW CHP (3 units on Fossil fuel)
 - 90 MW HoB (3 units on Fossil fuel)
- <u>EE & RE scenario</u> demand is heavily reduced by EE measures => new capacity:
 - 95 MW CHP (3 units on Renewables)
 - 60 MW HoB (2 units on fossil fuel)

Result

- Efficiency measures reduces:
 - The need for new heat capacity from 185 MW to 155 MW (-15%)
 - The annual production from 409 GWh to 329 GWh and by that CO₂ emissions with 20%
- RE measures reduce the fossil based generation by 318 GWh and by that the remaining CO₂ emissions by 96%







Methodology

- 1. Input from annual report
 - Income
 - Variable cost (volume dependent)
 - Fixed cost
- 2. Assumptions
 - Constant real prices on sales and purchases during the whole period
- 3. Simulations
 - 1. Necessary price to get 0-result for year 2019
 - 2. Necessary price to get 0-result for a 40-year period using 6% discount rate, taking into consideration necessary investments in accordance with the scenario used for the simulation (Base and Energy Efficiency&Renewable)
 - 3. Sensitivity analysis for variations in CO₂ trading price







Current situation – No CO₂ cost

		2019		Current average	2019 balanced	Price for 0-result
		Mtenge	(GWh)	tenge/kWh,heat	Mtenge	tenge/kWh,heat
Income	Sales	613	193,7	3,16	1663	8,59
	Total income	613				
Cost	Fuel	849,3	353,9		849,3	3
	Other	813,7			813,7	,
	Total	1663				
Annual Result		-1050			C	
Average fuel cost per sold heat unit			4,38	tenge/kWh,heat		

Current heat price has to be increased by:

- <u>39% to cover the fuel cost</u> and by
- <u>172% to cover annual operational cost</u>





Financial projections Example



Base 2020-2060, Long term price balance

	2020	2030	D	
Sales	193,7GWh	346,0)GWh	
Distribution losses	54,0GWh	44,0	DGWh	
	of prod 22%2020	10%	of prod 2020 adjusted wit sales increas) h se
Production	247,7GWh	390,0	DGWh	
Boiler efficiency	70%	90%	0	
Fuel consumption	353,9GWh	433,3	BGWh	
Investments in netw	vork			
Immediate actions	15 M\$	5 years		
Continous reinv.	1M\$/yea	r from year 6		
NEW January ons	2020 Net p	resent value	0	Price for
Total revenue	104 804 Positi	ve NPV year	41	2020-
Total operational cos	st -40 882 _M Interr	nal rate of return	6,0%	tenge/k\
Operational result	63 922 _{MW}	45 M\$	30 / 60	21 29 (discou
Total investment	-63 922			-1,29 (UISCOL
Total project	0			







Financial projections Example



Energy Efficiency & Renewable 2020-2060, Long term price balance 2020 2030 Sales 193,7GWh 298,0GWh **Distribution losses** 54,0GWh 38,0GWh of prod 2020 22% of prod 2020 10% adj with sales increase Production 247,7GWh 336,0GWh **Boiler efficiency** 70% 95% **Fuel consumption** 353,9GWh 353,7GWh Investments in network Immediate actions 15M\$ 5 years from year 1M\$/year Continous reinv. 6 New connections 50M\$ 10 years MRV/sthanentariyn1production 2021 Net present value 0 **Price for 0-result** Total revenue 98 391 393 2020-2030 **Positive NPV year** Total operational cost -38 542 **6,0%**³ Internal rate of return tenge/kWh,heat Operational result 59 850 Pay back time (years) 20 22,61 (discount rate 6%) -59 850 Total investment





Total project



Sensitivity analysis

Current trading price

Kazakhstan	1 \$/ton
Europé	22 \$/ton (lowest since 2015)
WB forecast	75 \$/ton (2030)

Lowest risk - Energy Efficiency and Renewable

Highest risk – Business as usual

Necessary Heat price VS CO2 price (Discount rate 6%)









Summary

- Described basic principles and input like:
 - Least cost
 - Load Duration curve
 - Cost characteristics
 - Utilization of different sources
- We have briefly looked at examples of:
 - Detailed modelling of different Scenarios for Demand and Supply
 - Tools to be used for
 - Detailed simulations of system operation
 - Financial simulations







Discussion

- Future District Heating demand:
 - Increase due to higher living standard
 - Increase due to more connected customers
 - Decrease due to Efficiency Measures
- Use of Simulation model
 - System utilization
 - Financial simulations for prioritization of actions













Thank you for your Attention!

Lennart Larsson



E-mail: lennart.larsson@pilekon.se



Tel.:



